



O. J. IS INNOCENT AND I CAN PROVE IT BY WILLIAM C. DEAR



**DOWNLOAD EBOOK : O. J. IS INNOCENT AND I CAN PROVE IT BY WILLIAM
C. DEAR PDF**





Click link bellow and free register to download ebook:
O. J. IS INNOCENT AND I CAN PROVE IT BY WILLIAM C. DEAR

[DOWNLOAD FROM OUR ONLINE LIBRARY](#)

O. J. IS INNOCENT AND I CAN PROVE IT BY WILLIAM C. DEAR PDF

Gather guide **O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear** start from now. However the extra means is by gathering the soft data of guide O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear Taking the soft documents can be conserved or stored in computer system or in your laptop. So, it can be more than a book O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear that you have. The most convenient means to expose is that you could also save the soft file of O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear in your suitable and readily available device. This problem will expect you too often review O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear in the extra times greater than talking or gossiping. It will certainly not make you have bad habit, however it will lead you to have much better routine to review book O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear.

O. J. IS INNOCENT AND I CAN PROVE IT BY WILLIAM C. DEAR PDF

[Download: O. J. IS INNOCENT AND I CAN PROVE IT BY WILLIAM C. DEAR PDF](#)

O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear. Reading makes you better. That states? Many smart words claim that by reading, your life will be much better. Do you think it? Yeah, show it. If you require guide O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear to review to show the sensible words, you can visit this web page completely. This is the site that will provide all the books that probably you need. Are guide's compilations that will make you feel interested to read? One of them below is the O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear that we will certainly propose.

It is not secret when attaching the composing skills to reading. Checking out *O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear* will make you get more resources and resources. It is a manner in which can boost exactly how you overlook and recognize the life. By reading this O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear, you can more than what you get from other book O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear This is a widely known book that is published from famous author. Seen kind the writer, it can be relied on that this publication O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear will certainly offer lots of motivations, concerning the life as well as encounter and everything within.

You could not have to be question about this O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear It is simple method to get this book O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear You can simply visit the established with the link that we supply. Below, you could purchase the book O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear by on-line. By downloading and install O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear, you can locate the soft data of this publication. This is the local time for you to begin reading. Even this is not published publication O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear; it will exactly offer more benefits. Why? You may not bring the published publication O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear or pile the book in your property or the workplace.

O. J. IS INNOCENT AND I CAN PROVE IT BY WILLIAM C. DEAR PDF

The shocking truth about the murders of Nicole Simpson and Ron Goldman.

Nicole Simpson and Ron Goldman were brutally murdered at Nicole's home on Bundy Drive in Brentwood, California, on the night of June 12, 1994. The weeks and months that followed were full of spectacle, including a much-watched car chase and the eventual arrest of O. J. Simpson for the murders. The televised trial that followed was unlike any that the nation had ever seen. Long convinced of O. J.'s guilt, the world was shocked when the jury of the "trial of the century" read the verdict of not guilty.

To this day, the LAPD, Los Angeles District Attorney's office, mainstream media, and much of the world at large remain firmly convinced that O. J. Simpson literally got away with murder. According to private investigator William Dear, it is precisely this assuredness that has led both the police and public to overlook a far more likely suspect. Dear now compiles more than 16 years of investigation by his team of forensic experts and presents evidence that O. J. was not the killer.

In *O. J. is Innocent and I Can Prove It*, Dear makes the controversial but compelling case that it was, in fact, the "overlooked suspect", O. J.'s eldest son, Jason, who committed the grisly murders. Sure to stir the pot and raise some eyebrows, this book is a must-listen.

- Sales Rank: #51467 in Audible
- Published on: 2013-02-03
- Format: Unabridged
- Original language: English
- Running time: 1017 minutes

Most helpful customer reviews

160 of 194 people found the following review helpful.

Maybe O.J. Didn't Do It

By Q. Kelly

I've read many O.J. Simpson books, but this one is probably the most important. The book "O.J. Is Innocent and I Can Prove It" by William C. Dear is a must-read on several levels. The most obvious level, of course, is that it quite compellingly presents an argument for O.J.'s innocence in the killings of Nicole Brown Simpson and Ron Goldman. (However, it posits O.J. helped the true killer cover up the crime and took the blame, so to speak, for the true killer.) The book presents a suspect whom the police never interviewed BUT a suspect for whom O.J. got a defense attorney for the day after the murders for no apparent reason. O.J. also has a history of cleaning up after this suspect's violent acts. This suspect was never interviewed, police didn't bother to look into him, so why the defense attorney?

Who is this suspect? Jason Simpson, O.J.'s son (who was 24 at the time of the killings). Now, let me say

something here. My purpose in this review is not to persuade you O.J. is innocent or that Jason is guilty. I'm not going to get into debates about that UNTIL you've read this book. Then we'll talk. ;-)

My mind remains open as to who killed Nicole and Ron, but Jason is someone the police should have interviewed for sure. He has blackouts, has assaulted multiple girlfriends, describes himself as a Jekyll and Hyde, was snubbed by Nicole on the day of the murders, had a handwritten time card on the night of the killings (the only handwritten time card among computerized punch-outs) and no alibi. In interviews (not police interviews, of course), Jason Simpson's story keeps changing. The book gives a long, detailed list as to why O.J. is innocent and why Jason should be considered a major suspect.

Why this book is a must-read on other levels: it shows the danger of police tunnel vision. The police decided O.J. was guilty without even investigating him and any other suspects.

It also shows how badly police botched the crime scene with its carelessness. However, there are a few blood samples, skin samples, a shoe print and fingerprints that remain unidentified. The police say the case remains open, then in the next breath, say it's closed. Which is it? It seems to be whichever is most convenient at the moment for the cops. When it's a request to get Jason Simpson's DNA and fingerprints for comparison, the cops say the case is closed, OJ was tried but found not guilty (whatever sense that makes). When it's media speech, the police say the case is open.

The police still refuse to interview Jason Simpson despite many experts, including Henry Lee, saying he is at the very least a plausible suspect.

I could write on and on and on about this book, but I urge you to just read it. Please. I've read books on both sides of the O.J. argument, and I've always felt something was a bit fishy, a bit off. This book could very well explain the answer.

327 of 417 people found the following review helpful.

Ugh, I can't believe I read this book.

By Lisa Ferris

I borrowed a friend's kindle just to see if it would work for me and this book was on it, so I am embarrassed to say I read it. And now to redeem myself I am going to try to save you from it.

I have not thought a lot about OJ, but always came down on the "both/and" side of things. OJ both committed murder and was framed. The substance of this book can be summarized in about five pages. The rest is just a nauseating repeat of the same facts over and over again.

1. Jason Simpson, OJ's oldest son, from a criminal profiler's point of view has a more likely criminal and medical profile to be capable of murder than does OJ. This is based on past incidents of quite violent domestic abuse and a sordid history of mental illness.
2. Jason Simpson did NOT have an airtight alibi on the night of the murders. In fact, there is evidence that people covered for him (sometimes contradicting each other in the process.)
3. OJ hired a prestigious criminal attorney for his son the day after the murders, before he had even hired criminal lawyers for himself.

And theories:

4. OJ was at the crime scene after the murders, but did not commit them. Instead, he took steps to cover for his son.

5. The motive for Jason killing Nicole was that she and her family said they would dine at the restaurant he was head-chefing, but she stood him up and went to Mezzaluna instead. This was a blow to his pride.
6. Dear found a knife in Jason's belongings that may or may not be the murder weapon.

There! Now you don't have to read the book! Because the rest of the book is just Dear's egotistical ramblings about his grandiose ideas of himself and his illegal activities to build evidence for his theory. Which is hilarious, because he blasts the LAPD for zeroing in on OJ to the detriment of their entire investigation. I think this is true, but Dear does the exact same thing. He talks in the beginning about drug connections between Nicole, the Mezzaluna staff, and other stabbings of Mezzaluna waiters which I found quite compelling. But then he just dismisses that out of hand without even any explanation. He talked about "Don't assume, Verify." Yet the hoops he jumps through sometimes to pile on a case against Jason (especially in regards to the timeline) are quite acrobatic. He also has his facts wrong in some instances. For example, he says the LA prosecutors timeline has the murders happening at 10:40. That was actually the timeline of the defense. They chose a late timeline so that it would show that OJ didn't have time to get back for his limo ride by 10:50. The prosecution had the murders pegged at 10:15. Dear's theory actually requires MORE time needed for OJ after the murders, since he didn't commit them, just came to the scene after the fact. (Another blaring inaccuracy that made me laugh was when he talked about Jason having "mycological epilepsy. What? The epilepsy caused by mushrooms? I think he may have meant "myoclonic" seizures.)

I kept waiting for the book to talk about the blood and trace evidence. I am not an expert on these things, so I thought he might explain how blood and trace evidence could be similar between father and son to the extent that perhaps evidence consistent with OJ might also be consistent with his son. He couldn't do this, because the LAPD would not give him access to the evidence in the first place. But the reports are public enough that perhaps some inferences could have been made. He did get an opportunity to interview Dr. Henry Lee, forensic scientist for the defense. But all he did was ask leading questions that Lee could really only answer with "it's possible." He did not take an opportunity to ask Lee to interpret or explain the evidence's possibility of being attributed to both OJ and his son.

But what irritated me the most was his faux concern for Jason Simpson. He spends years stalking and harassing this guy, digging in his garbage, showing up at his work, illegally obtaining his medical records, publishing his personal notes, all because he wants to get Jason "help" so he doesn't get violent again. But it is obvious that his bigger motivation is fame and fortune or to be the one who solves the crime. Also, he talks about his investigation lasting 16 years and time is of the essence because Jason could kill again at any time! (even going so far as to spy on him when he goes to pick up a paycheck just in case he pulls a knife on his boss...it is all very dramatic.) But is there any evidence of Jason being violent since the murders 18 years ago? I'm not sure, but you'd think if there was, he would have covered it in his book.

The book was extremely boring to read, mostly because it is JUST SO REPETATIVE, and after the first couple of chapters, you keep waiting for him to say something new and different and it never happens. But my take away from the book is this: Yes, Jason should have been investigated at the time of the murders as a major suspect. The LAPD made a lot of mistakes and this is one of them. The theory that Jason is the killer is intriguing, but there is just no solid evidence. Everything he found was WAAAY more circumstantial and presumptive than what the LAPD originally had for OJ. But this is what through it all out for me in the end: Dear finds a receipt from a pharmacy in Jason's trash. It is for Depakote, a drug for seizure reduction (and Dear theorizes for Jason, treatment for mental illness and controlling rage) that was priced at about \$250 per month. Jason owed the pharmacy somewhere over \$500 for it. There is a note from the pharmacy on the bill that says that OJ refused to pay for the depakote and that Jason will need to pay for it himself. I am thinking, OJ is willing to ruin his whole life to take the wrap for Jason for the killing of the mother of his two younger children, yet he now refuses to pay for his Depakote? Depakote is the drug that Dear says he needs not so

much for epilepsy but to control violent outbursts? To the extent that when he didn't have it he would go to the emergency room and ask for it? OJ would cover for him for Nicole's murder but would risk him murdering again because he did not have his medication? You would think if OJ was so concerned about his son murdering again that he would make sure that kid was SET UP with all the mental health care and medication he needs for life. But just a couple of years after the murders, when OJ is free and living on a substantial set of pensions, he refuses to pay for his depakote. It doesn't make sense.

The book was just macho-vomit by a guy who thinks too highly of himself. Any credibility his theory has is lost in the arrogance of the book itself. There is really nothing new here. And now I have done my due diligence in warning you and I can forget I ever wasted time reading this book.

31 of 37 people found the following review helpful.

Interesting theories... wish it would have focused on legal strategies a bit more

By Amazon Customer

Dear's book is a very interesting read. It is a bit long and repetitive, and the author talks about his credentials and how many people he's convinced of his theory a bit too much, but overall the circumstantial evidence is compelling. What Dear has uncovered and deduced at the very least warrants a follow up by the L.A. District Attorney's office. Let's talk about legal theory. Why would O.J. cover for his son if Jason actually did kill Nicole and Ron? Obviously, a father will often times cover for a child, especially when a murder is involved. And remember, California is a death penalty state. Jason, a young unknown black male, probably would have been facing the death penalty if he had been tried for the murders. Conversely, O.J. was a middle aged much-loved sports celebrity and a father to two young children. The odds were far less that the D.A.'s office would seek the death penalty if O.J. were the one on trial. O.J. may have been prepared to go to jail for the murders to spare Jason the death penalty. The most damning bit of evidence that Dear uncovered was that O.J. hired a criminal defense lawyer for Jason rather than himself the day after the murders. Why would O.J. hire an attorney for his kid if the kid hasn't done anything wrong? At that point in time O.J. obviously knew he was a prime suspect, yet he gets an attorney for Jason and not himself. That behaviour is very telling. Now think about this: whoever that attorney was that O.J. hired for Jason must have been told why he would be representing Jason. In other words, if we believe Dear's theory, the attorney knew Jason committed the murders and O.J. was innocent. However, that attorney is ethically bound to not say anything that would harm his client,(Jason) UNLESS not breaking the silence would result in the death of another person,)O.J.). Remember though, the prosecutors didn't seek the death penalty for O.J. If prosecutors had sought the death penalty for O.J. and O.J. was subsequently convicted, the attorney hired on Jason's behalf would then be released from his ethical obligation to not say anything that would harm Jason and thus be able reveal what he knew to save O.J.'s life. The ultimate strategy may have been that if O.J. was mistakenly convicted and sentenced to death, and then it was revealed by Jason's attorney that Jason committed the murders, O.J. would be off the hook and Jason would be spared the death penalty because the D.A.'s office would be so embarrassed that it almost mistakenly put football hero O.J. Simpson to death. The public is already leary about the state getting it wrong in death penalty cases, so if it was shown O.J. didn't commit the murders there would be significant pressure to not kill other potentially innocent convicts, including Jason. When O.J. was found not guilty, the best possible outcome for both O.J. and Jason, the defense attorney was forever bound to not reveal anything he/she may have been told about the actual murderer's identity.

See all 182 customer reviews...

O. J. IS INNOCENT AND I CAN PROVE IT BY WILLIAM C. DEAR PDF

You could carefully include the soft documents **O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear** to the device or every computer hardware in your workplace or home. It will aid you to consistently continue checking out O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear every single time you have extra time. This is why, reading this O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear doesn't offer you problems. It will certainly offer you crucial resources for you who intend to start composing, writing about the comparable publication O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear are various book area.

Gather guide **O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear** start from now. However the extra means is by gathering the soft data of guide O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear Taking the soft documents can be conserved or stored in computer system or in your laptop. So, it can be more than a book O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear that you have. The most convenient means to expose is that you could also save the soft file of O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear in your suitable and readily available device. This problem will expect you too often review O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear in the extra times greater than talking or gossiping. It will certainly not make you have bad habit, however it will lead you to have much better routine to review book O. J. Is Innocent And I Can Prove It By William C. Dear.